

# केंद्रीय कर आयुक्त (अपील)

# O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), CENTRAL TAX,

केंद्रीय कर भवन.

7<sup>th</sup> Floor, GST Building, Near Polytechnic,

सातवीं मंजिल, पोलिटेकनिक के पास, आम्बावाडी, अहमदाबाद-380015

Ambavadi, Ahmedabad-380015

**26305065**: 079-26305065

टेलेफैक्स : 079 - 26305136

7501 +07505

# रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

क फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(ST)09/EA-2/Ahd-South/2018-19

Stay Appl.No. /2018-19

સ્વ अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-095-2018-19

दिनाँक Date : 31-10-2018 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue

7/12/2018

<u>প্রী उमा शंकर</u> आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित

Passed by Shri. Uma Shanker, Commissioner (Appeals)

Arising out of Order-in-Original No. CGST /REF-06/SNL-Finance /17-18 दिनाँक: 01.05.2018 issued by Assistant Commissionr, Div-VIII, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South

अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent

#### SNL Financial Pvt.Ltd Ahmedabad

कोई व्यवित इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person a aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way:

भारत रार्कार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन

## Revision application to Government of India:

- केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप–धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुगरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।
- A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid:
- यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।
- In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to (ii) another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.
- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India. एवं सेवाकर (केश्र) साम एउग्र
- यदि शुल्क का भगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो। (ग)



- (ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।
- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।
- (c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केंडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियक्त किए गए हो।

- (d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपन्न संख्या इए–8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल–आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो–दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35–इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर–6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकंम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/— फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/— की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35—बी/35—इ के अंतर्गत:—

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलों के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ—20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद—380016

(a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad: 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथारिथित निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-litem of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपीलो के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.
- यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तूलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है .

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty alone is in dispute."

3

#### **ORDER IN APPEAL**

The Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division-VI, Ahmedabad (South) (hereinafter referred to as 'appellant') has filed the present appeal against the Order-in-Original number CGST-VI/REF-06/SNL FINANCIAL/17-18 dated 01.05.2018 (hereinafter referred to as 'impugned order') passed in the matter of refund claim filed by M/s. SNL Financial (India) Pvt. Ltd., SNL Office, 5 Sunrise Park Society, Near Sales India, Drive-in-Road, Ahmedabad (hereinafter referred to as 'respondents').

- 2. The facts of the case, in brief, are that the respondents were holding Service Tax registration number AAICS5093ESD001under the category of "Business Auxiliary Service, Rent-a-cab Scheme Operator Service, Security Agency Service, Legal Consultancy Service and Other taxable Services". The respondents had filed a refund claim for ₹59,51,094/- for the period from January 2017 to March 2017 in terms of Notification number 27/2012-CE(NT), dated 18.06.2012 in respect of Service Tax paid on specified services used for export of services/goods. The adjudicating authority, vide the impugned order, sanctioned the entire refund claim of ₹59,51,094/- considering the service to be of the nature of Business Support Service.
- 3. The impugned order was reviewed by the Principal Commissioner of Central Goods & Service Tax, Ahmedabad (South) and issued review order number 02/2018-19 dated 10.08.2018 for filing appeal under section 84(1) of the Finance Act, 1994. The appellant contended that the respondents are providing services to M/s. SNL Financial LC, USA, in nature of Online Information and Database Access or Retrieval Services and the place of provision of the said service is the location of the service provider. The appellant further stated that as the location of the service provider is in taxable territory, therefore, it appears that the service provided by the respondents cannot be treated as export of service. Accordingly, the appellant requested before me to set aside the impugned order in the interest of justice.
- 4. Personal hearing in the matter was granted and held on 23.10.2018. Ms. Zil Ramani, Chartered Accountant and Shri Pathik Desai, Manager Finance of the respondents, appeared before me and claimed that the refund claim was under the category of Business Support Service and not Online Information and Database Access service and/ or Retrieval Service. Ms. Ramani pointed out the CBEC Circulars number B-11/1/2001-TRU dated 09.07.2001 and 334/4/2006-TRU dated 28.02.2006 stating that the said circulars are in their favour. He further submitted that the entire data

owned by the US based client and the respondents are merely providing Business Support Service.

- I have carefully gone through the facts of the case on records, grounds of appeal in the Appeal Memorandum and oral submissions made by the respondents at the time of personal hearing. In the grounds of appeal, the appellant has claimed that the respondents are actually providing Online Information and Database Access Service and not Business Support Service to their clients. When I looked at the grounds of appeal, I found that no material is placed there in support of the claim of the appellant. The appellant has simply defined OIDAR (Online Information and Database Access or Retrieval Services) and Business Support Service and tried to squeeze in the activity of the respondents in the category of OIDAR. The appellant has no methodological evidence to confirm the fact that the services provided by the respondents are of the nature of Online Information and Database Access Service and not the Business Support Service. In paragraph 20 of the grounds of appeal, the appellant has concluded that as the location of the service provider (respondents) is in taxable territory, it appears that the service provided by the respondents cannot be treated as export of service. Looking at the above, it seems that the appeal has been made on the basis of pure assumption and presumption. Mere allegation and assuming the tax liability is a pure hogwash under the eye of the law. Allegation should be based on documentary evidence as per the law.
- Now, during the course of personal hearing, the respondents have 6. claimed that they are not providing Online Information and Database Access Service to their clients. In support of their claim, they have submitted before me a copy of agreement entered into between them and M/s. SNL, US. Going through the said agreement, I found the Exhibit-A portion where the scope of services has been agreed upon. In the said section, the services to be provided by the respondents to M/s. SNL, US are Data Collection, Data Storing/Collating, Data Analysis, Data Feeding/ Data Entry, Quality Reviewing and various other services. Online Information and Database Access Services are provided in electronic form through computer network. Thus, these services are essentially delivered over the internet or on electronic network which relies on the internet or similar network for their provision. The other important feature of these services is that they are completely automated, and require minimal human intervention. Examples of such services are (i) online information generated automatically by software from specific data input by the customer, such as web-based services providing trade statistics, legal and financial data, matrimonial servi

social networking sites; (ii) digitized content of books and other electron

flight information and weather reports; (iii) Web-based services proviging;

្ស៊ីជ្រៀlications, subscription of online newspapers and journals, online

र्व सेवाकर (अ

access or download of digital content. It is also important to know that what is not covered under the category of the said service. As per the guidance note, released by the CBEC in 2012, the following services will not be treated as "Online Information and Database Access service"; (i) Sale or purchase of goods, articles etc. over the internet; (ii) Telecommunication services provided over the internet, including fax, telephony, audio conferencing, and videoconferencing; (iii) A service which is rendered over the internet, such as an architectural drawing, or management consultancy through e-mail; (iv) Repair of software, or of hardware, through the internet, from a remote location; (v) Internet backbone services and internet access services. Thus, I find that the services, as mentioned in Exhibit-A of the said agreement, are nowhere related to the services described in the category of Online Information and Database Access Services. The inclusive parts of the definition of "Online Information and Database Access service" are only indicative and not exhaustive. To determine if a particular service is an OIDAR service, the following test can be applied:

Service	Whether Provision	Whether it is	OIDAR
	of service	Automated and	Service
·	mediated by	impossible to	
	information	ensure in the	
	technology over	absence of	
	the internet or an	information	
	electronic network	technology	
	·		
	·		
PDF document manually emailed	YES	NO	NO
by provider			
PDF document automatically	YES	YES	YES
emailed by provider's system			
PDF document automatically	YES	YES	YES
downloaded from site			
Stock photographs available for	YES	YES	YES
automatic download			
		\	VEC
Online course consisting of pre-	YES	YES	YES
recorded videos and downloadable		·	
PDFs			
O li	YES	NO	NO
Online course consisting of pre-	YES	NO	NO
recorded videos and downloadable			
PDFs plus support from a live tutor			
Individually commissioned content	YES	NO	NO
sent in digital form e.g.,	160	140	110
photographs, reports, medical			
results			
		,	di P

From the above, it can be very clearly deduced that the services provided by the respondents, to their overseas client, are not related to the services as mentioned in the category of "Online Information and Database Access Service". Besides, I would like to quote, below, the relevant contents from the CBEC Circular number B-11/1/2001-TRU dated 09.07.2001, which has clarified the nature of Online Information and Database Access Service;

- "3. In the context of this service, it may be relevant to point out the manner in which on-line information and database access/retrieval is generally made available. First the function of what is commonly known as Internet Service Providers (ISP). The ISPs provide telecommunication network or gateways necessary to access messages and databases and other information holdings of content providers. The second element is on-line information provision services which includes database services, provision of information on websites, provision of on-line data retrieval services from data bases and other information, to all or limited number of users and provision of on-line information by content providers.
- 4. Internet Service Providers (ISPs) provide access to the web-sites through the computer network and the web-sites. Web-sites, in turn, provide the database or information. Some of the well-known ISPs operating in India are VSNL, MTNL, Satyam Online, Bharti, TATA, RPG, HCL, Wipro, BPL, Mantra Online, Dishnet. They normally charge the customers on the basis of usage of time (hours). They also provide dedicated lease lines on lump-sump payment basis. Clearly, ISPs providing service in relation to on-line information and database access or retrieval. They are an integral part of the internet operations and without their service, the data or information can neither be accessed nor retrieved. They are, therefore, liable to pay Service Tax on the amount charged from the customers whether on usage time basis or on lease line basis."

In the case of M/s. THOMSON REUTERS INDIA PVT. LTD. versus the Commissioner of Service Tax, Mumbai-I [2015 (38) STR 1014 (Tri-Mumbai)], The Tribunal, West Zonal Bench, Mumbai, held that in the case of collecting, collating, verifying data and transmission of same to foreign sister concern either electronically or otherwise and consideration paid on cost plus basis in convertible foreign exchange, the services rendered not in nature of management or repair service but merits classification under business support services. The concerned content of the said judgment is reproduced, verbatim, below for more clarification;

"5, We have carefully considered the submissions made by both the sides. We have also perused the agreement entered into by the appellants with Reuters Ltd. U.K. As per the agreement Reuters Limited, U.K. are engaged in producing news and financial information and related products compiled by the Reuters Group situated all over the world and the appellant, the Indian entity, is required to collected and provide data for inclusion in the Reuters products. For the services rendered Reuters Ltd., UK has agreed to compensate the appellant for performing such activities and for the related financial risks."

regards the 'editorial services' the appellant is required to collect from all sources including but not limited to journalists, photographers and cameraman and supply to Reuters Ltd., U.K., a file of general, political and economic and financial news reports and pictures and news film of its standard suitable for use in the Reuters Group media products and other information products. Such file has to be supplied to the foreign entity by electronic or other means. In consideration for the services rendered, the foreign entity, Reuters Ltd., U.K., is required to pay a fee to the appellant in an amount equal to 108% of the costs and expenses incurred by the appellant in providing those services. Thus, as per the agreement, the services rendered is one of collecting, collating, verifying data and transmission of the same to the foreignsister concern of the appellant. The information has to be transmitted either electronically or otherwise and the consideration is paid on cost plus basis. Thus, the services rendered by the appellant does not seem to be of the nature of any management or repair services as alleged in the show cause notices and as concluded in the impugned order. The data furnished by the appellant is used by the foreign entity for inclusion in their products for dissemination to the customers situated worldwide. In other words, the activity of the appellant supports the business undertaken by the foreign entity abroad. Thus, we find there is merit in the argument of the appellant that the activities undertaken by them, merits classification under 'Business Support Services'.

5.1 It is also a fact that the appellant has received consideration for the services rendered in convertible foreign exchange. 'Business Support Services' merit classification under Rule 3(1)(iii) of the Export of Services Rules and if the services were rendered from India and consideration is received in convertible foreign exchange, then the transaction would amount to exports. In the present case, there is no dispute that the appellant has rendered the services from India and the appellant has received the consideration in convertible foreign exchange. In view of the above factual position, the services rendered by the appellant would merit classification as 'export of services' from India. On export of services, Service Tax liability is not attracted. The argument of the department that the appellant has repatriated the export proceedings by declaring dividends is unsustainable in law for the reason that declaration of dividends is out of the profits made by the appellant and has nothing to do with the exports undertaken by the appellant. This Tribunal in the case of Maersk India Pvt. Ltd., cited supra, has held that declaration of dividends is not equivalent to repatriation of the consideration for the export of services.

5.2 Further, we have perused the balance sheet of the appellant during the impugned periods. From the balance sheets it is evident that during the periods i.e. 2003-04 to 2011-12, the appellant had not declared any dividend whatsoever. Thus, factually also the impugned order is incorrect inasmuch as no dividends have been declared by the appellant during the impugned period and therefore, the question of repatriation would not arise at all. Thus, the impugned orders lack merits."

In light of the above discussion, I consider that the place of provision of service, in this case, is outside India and no tax liability can be fixed on the respondents.

- .7. In this regard, as per the discussions held above, I disagree with the argument of the appellant and consider that the impugned order has very rightly sanctioned the claim of refund to the respondents. Accordingly, I do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order and reject the appeal filed by the Department.
- अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपीलों का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है। 8.
- The appeal filed by the appellants stands disposed off in above terms.

(उमा शंकर)

324129120

आयुक्त (अपील्स)

CENTRAL TAX, AHMEDABAD.

ATTESTED

SUPERINTENDENT (APPEALS), CENTRAL TAX, AHMEDABAD.

Τo, M/s. SNL Financial (India) Pvt. Ltd., SNL Office, 5 Sunrise Park Society, Near Sales India, Drive-in-Road, Ahmedabad

## Copy to:

- 1) The Chief Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad.
- 2) The Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad (South).
- 3) The Dy./Asst. Commissioner, CGST, Division-VI (Vastrapur), Ahmedabad (South).
- 4) The Asst. Commissioner (System), Central Tax Hq, Ahmedabad (South).
- 5) Guard File.
- 6) PA File.

